

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

DONALD WILLIAMS, JR.,
Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL D. OVERMYER, et al.,
Respondents.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Civ. No. 19-1386

ORDER

Former Magistrate Judge Linda K. Caracappa has issued a Report and Recommendation advising that I deny Petitioner Donald Williams Jr.’s Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus. (Doc. No. 19.) Williams has not objected to Judge Caracappa’s R&R, even though I have granted him multiple extensions. (Doc. Nos. 21, 23.) After carefully reviewing Judge Caracappa’s thorough opinion, I conclude that her analysis is plainly correct. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) advisory committee’s note to the 1983 amendment (“When no timely objection is filed, [I] need only satisfy [myself] that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.”); see also Henderson v. Carlson, 812 F.2d 874, 878 (3d Cir. 1987).

AND NOW, this 25th day of May, 2021, upon consideration of the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. Nos. 1, 5, 18), Respondents’ Opposition (Doc. No. 8), and the Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 19), to which no objection has been filed, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. The Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 19) is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED**;
2. The Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus is **DENIED with prejudice**;
3. I will not conduct an evidentiary hearing because the record conclusively shows that Williams is not entitled to relief. See United States v. Lilly, 536 F.3d 190, 196 (3d Cir. 2008);
4. There are no grounds to issue a certificate of appealability; and

5. The Clerk of Court shall **CLOSE** this case.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Paul S. Diamond

Paul S. Diamond, J.